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Thank you.

I'm an intersex person and survivor of Intersex Genital Mutilation reporting on behalf of my Austrian peers on harmful practices.

As substantiated in our reports,[[1]](#footnote-1) [[2]](#footnote-2) in Austria intersex children born with so called “ambiguous” genitalia continue to be submitted to unnecessary genital surgeries including partial clitoris amputation, sterilising procedures, and human experimentation,[[3]](#footnote-3) based on societal and cultural stereotypes of what constitutes acceptable female or male bodies,[[4]](#footnote-4) advocated and paid for by the Public Health System.[[5]](#footnote-5)

In 2016, CAT[[6]](#footnote-6) has already recommended Austria to “*[t]ake the legislative, administrative and other measures necessary”* to protect intersex children from IGM, to provide families with impartial counselling and support, to “*[u]ndertake investigation of [...] procedures performed […] without effective consent”* and to ensure redress and compensation for victims.

2018, the Austrian Constitutional Court explicitly recognised that intersex constitutes “*a variation”* and not *“a pathological development”*, concluding *“fear of stigmatisation on the part of families can in no way indicate interventions.”* [[7]](#footnote-7)

And last May, Austrian intersex association VIMÖ reiterated: *“The most important demand of intersex advocacy organisations worldwide is to immediately stop Intersex Genital Mutilation (IGM) and protect the physical integrity of intersex people.”* [[8]](#footnote-8)

However, to this day the State party fails to act.[[9]](#footnote-9) And while it’s common knowledge that IGM practices continue, the State party refuses to disclose statistics, as highlighted by Platform Intersex Austria spokesperson Eva Matt: “*We have no current data, we do not know exactly how many of these surgeries are performed”*, despite that *“all interventions [...] have to be billed via health insurance companies or have to appear in hospital statistics”*. [[10]](#footnote-10)

./.

To this day, instead of finally combatting, investigating and monitoring Intersex Genital Mutilation as a serious human rights violation, namely as a harmful practice[[11]](#footnote-11) and inhuman treatment,[[12]](#footnote-12) Austria tries to misrepresent IGM as supposedly genuine health care instead.

Despite the severe pain and suffering caused by IGM, including loss or impairment of sexual sensation, painful scarring, impairment or loss of reproductive capabilities, and lifelong mental suffering and trauma.[[13]](#footnote-13)

In the name of Austrian IGM survivors and intersex children at risk I therefore urge the Committee to raise Intersex Genital Mutilation under harmful practices.

Thank you.
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